arXiv:1601.07633v1 [cs.IT] 28 Jan 2016

The Generalized Reed-Muller codes and the radical powers of a modular algebra

Harinaivo ANDRIATAHINY
Mention: Mathématiques et informatique,
Domaine: Sciences et Technologies,
Université d'Antananarivo, Madagascar
e-mail: harinaivo.andriatahiny@univ-antananarivo.mg

January 29, 2016

Abstract

First, a new proof of Berman and Charpin's characterization of the Reed-Muller codes over the binary field or over an arbitrary prime field is presented. These codes are considered as the powers of the radical of a modular algebra. Secondly, the same method is used for the study of the Generalized Reed-Muller codes over a non prime field.

Keywords: Reed-Muller codes, modular algebra, nilpotent radical
MSC 2010: 16N40, 94B05, 12E05

1 Introduction

S.D. Berman [4] showed that the binary Reed-Muller codes may be identified with the powers of the radical of the group algebra over the two elements field $\mathbb{F}_2$ of an elementary abelian 2-group. P. Charpin [6] gave a generalization of Berman's result for Reed-Muller codes over a prime field.
Many authors explored Berman's idea and gave another proofs of Berman's theorem (see [2],[9]).
Recently, I.N. Tumaikin [11][12] studied the connections between Basic Reed-Muller codes and the radical powers of the modular group algebra $\mathbb{F}_q[H]$ where H is a multiplicative group isomorphic to the additive group of the field $\mathbb{F}_q$ of order $q = p^r$ where p is a prime number and r is an integer. The index of nilpotency of the radical of $\mathbb{F}_q[H]$ is $r(p-1)+1$.
This paper presents an elementary proof of Berman and Charpin's characterization of the Reed-Muller codes by using a polynomial approach. The modular algebra $\mathbb{F}_p[X_1, \ldots, X_m] \,/\, (X_1^p - 1, \ldots, X_m^p - 1)$ where $m \geq 1$ is an integer is used to represent the ambient space of the codes. It is isomorphic to the group algebra $\mathbb{F}_p[\mathbb{F}_{p^m}]$. We utilize some properties of a linear basis of the modular

algebra.
We study also the case of the Generalized Reed-Muller (GRM) codes over a non prime field $\mathbb{F}_q$ (with $r > 1$). We consider the modular algebra

$$A = \mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \ldots, X_m] \,/\, (\, X_1^q - 1, \ldots, X_m^q - 1\,).$$

The index of nilpotency of the radical M of A is $m(q-1)+1$, so there are $m(q-1)+1$ non-zero powers of M (with $M^0 = A$). It is well-known that there are $m(q-1)+1$ non-zero Reed-Muller codes of length q^m over $\mathbb{F}_q$. The main result is Theorem 6.1 which gives the GRM codes over $\mathbb{F}_q$ which are radical powers of A. We show that except for M^0, M and $M^{m(q-1)}$, none of the radical powers of A is a GRM code over the non prime field $\mathbb{F}_q$.
The outline of the paper is as follows. In section 2, we give the definition and some properties of the GRM codes of length q^m over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ (see [5]). This section is also devoted to the modular algebra A. In section 3, we give a proof for the links (see [1],[4] and [6]) between GRM codes over a prime field $\mathbb{F}_p$ and the radical powers of $\mathbb{F}_p[X_1, \ldots, X_m] \,/\, (\, X_1^p - 1, \ldots, X_m^p - 1\,)$. In section 4, we study the functions $(x-1)^i$, $0 \leq i \leq q-1$, over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$. In section 5, we examine the case of the GRM codes over a non prime field. In section 6, an exemple is given.

2 The modular algebra $A = \mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \ldots, X_m]/(X_1^q - 1, \ldots, X_m^q - 1)$ and the GRM codes

Let $q = p^r$ with p a prime number and $r \geq 1$ an integer. We consider the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ of order q.
Let $P(m,q)$ be the vector space of the reduced polynomials in m variables over $\mathbb{F}_q$:

$$P(m,q) := \left\{ P(Y_1, \ldots, Y_m) = \sum_{i_1=0}^{q-1} \cdots \sum_{i_m=0}^{q-1} u_{i_1 \ldots i_m} Y_1^{i_1} \ldots Y_m^{i_m} \mid u_{i_1 \ldots i_m} \in \mathbb{F}_q \right\}. \tag{1}$$

The polynomial functions from $(\mathbb{F}_q)^m$ to $\mathbb{F}_q$ are given by the polynomials of $P(m,q)$.
Let ν be an integer such that $0 \leq \nu \leq m(q-1)$. Consider the subspace of $P(m,q)$ defined by

$$P_\nu(m,q) := \{ P(Y_1, \ldots, Y_m) \in P(m,q) \mid \deg(P(Y_1, \ldots, Y_m)) \leq \nu \}.$$

Consider the ideal $I = (X_1^q - 1, \ldots, X_m^q - 1)$ of the ring $\mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \ldots, X_m]$. Set $x_1 = X_1 + I, \ldots, x_m = X_m + I$. Then

$$A = \left\{ \sum_{i_1=0}^{q-1} \cdots \sum_{i_m=0}^{q-1} a_{i_1 \ldots i_m} x_1^{i_1} \ldots x_m^{i_m} \mid a_{i_1 \ldots i_m} \in \mathbb{F}_q \right\} \tag{2}$$

A is a local ring with maximal ideal M which is the radical of A.
Let d be an integer such that $0 \leq d \leq m(q-1)$. Consider the powers M^d of M.
A linear basis of M^d over $\mathbb{F}_q$ is

$$B_d := \left\{(x_1-1)^{i_1}\dots(x_m-1)^{i_m} \mid 0 \leq i_1,\dots,i_m \leq q-1, i_1+\dots+i_m \geq d\right\} \tag{3}$$

We have the following ascending sequence of ideals:

$$\{0\} = M^{m(q-1)+1} \subset M^{m(q-1)} \subset \dots \subset M^2 \subset M \subset A \tag{4}$$

Let us fix an order on the set of monomials

$$\left\{x_1^{i_1}\dots x_m^{i_m} \mid 0 \leq i_1,\dots,i_m \leq q-1\right\}.$$

Then we have the following remark:

2.1 Remark. Each element $\sum_{i_1=0}^{q-1}\dots\sum_{i_m=0}^{q-1} a_{i_1\dots i_m} x_1^{i_1}\dots x_m^{i_m}$ of A can be identified with the vector $(a_{i_1\dots i_m})_{0\leq i_1,\dots,i_m\leq q-1}$ of $(\mathbb{F}_q)^{q^m}$ and vice-versa. Hence the modular algebra A is identified with $(\mathbb{F}_q)^{q^m}$.

Let α be a primitive element of the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$. It is clear that $\mathbb{F}_q = \left\{0,1,\alpha,\alpha^2,\dots,\alpha^{q-2}\right\}$.
Set

$$\beta_0 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_i = \alpha^{i-1} \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq i \leq q-1. \tag{5}$$

When considering $P(m,q)$ and A as vector spaces over $\mathbb{F}_q$, we have the following isomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi: \quad & P(m,q) \longrightarrow A \\ & P(Y_1,\dots,Y_m) \longmapsto \sum_{i_1=0}^{q-1}\dots\sum_{i_m=0}^{q-1} P(\beta_{i_1},\dots,\beta_{i_m}) x_1^{i_1}\dots x_m^{i_m} \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

The Generalized Reed-Muller code of length q^m and of order ν ($0 \leq \nu \leq m(q-1)$) over $\mathbb{F}_q$ is defined by

$$C_\nu(m,q) := \left\{(P(\beta_{i_1},\dots,\beta_{i_m}))_{0\leq i_1,\dots,i_m\leq q-1} \mid P(Y_1,\dots,Y_m) \in P_\nu(m,q)\right\}. \tag{7}$$

It is a subspace of $(\mathbb{F}_q)^{q^m}$ and we have the following ascending sequence:

$$\{0\} \subset C_0(m,q) \subset C_1(m,q) \subset \dots \subset C_{m(q-1)-1}(m,q) \subset C_{m(q-1)}(m,q) = (\mathbb{F}_q)^{q^m} \tag{8}$$

We need the following notations:

2.2 Notations. Set $[0,q-1] = \{0,1,2,\dots,q-1\}$,
$\underline{i} := (i_1,\dots,i_m) \in ([0,q-1])^m$,
$|\underline{i}| := i_1+\dots+i_m$,
$\underline{j} \leq \underline{i}$ if $j_l \leq i_l$ for all $l = 1,2,\dots,m$ where $\underline{j} := (j_1,\dots,j_m) \in ([0,q-1])^m$,
$\underline{x} := (x_1,\dots,x_m)$,
$\underline{x}^{\underline{i}} := x_1^{i_1}\dots x_m^{i_m}$.

Consider the polynomial

$$B_{\underline{i}}(\underline{x}) := (x_1 - 1)^{i_1} \dots (x_m - 1)^{i_m}. \tag{9}$$

It is clear that

$$(x_l - 1)^{i_l} = \sum_{j=0}^{i_l} (-1)^{i_l - j} \binom{i_l}{j} x_l^j \tag{10}$$

for all $l = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

2.3 Proposition. *Considering the sequences (8)and (4), we have*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(M^d) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(C_{m(q-1)-d}(m, q))$$

for $0 \leq d \leq m(q-1)$.

Proof. Consider the set $E := \{\underline{i} \in ([0, q-1])^m \mid |\underline{i}| \geq d\}$.
Since $B_d = \left\{B_{\underline{i}}(\underline{x}) \mid |\underline{i}| \geq d\right\}$ is a basis of M^d, then $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(M^d) = \text{Card}(E)$ where $\text{Card}(E)$ denotes the number of elements in the set E.
Consider the set $F := \{\underline{i} \in ([0, q-1])^m \mid |\underline{i}| \leq m(q-1) - d\}$.
We have $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(C_{m(q-1)-d}(m, q)) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(P_{m(q-1)-d}(m, q)) = \text{Card}(F)$.
The mapping

$$\begin{aligned} \theta : ([0, q-1])^m &\longrightarrow ([0, q-1])^m \\ (i_1, \dots, i_m) &\longmapsto (q-1-i_1, \dots, q-1-i_m) \end{aligned}$$

is a bijection and the inverse mapping is $\theta^{-1} = \theta$.
Let $\underline{i} \in E$. Then $|\underline{i}| \geq d$, and $|\theta(\underline{i})| = m(q-1) - |\underline{i}| \leq m(q-1) - d$. Hence $\theta(\underline{i}) \in F$. And it follows that $\theta(E) \subseteq F$.
Conversely, let $\underline{i} \in F$. Then $|\underline{i}| \leq m(q-1) - d$, and $|\theta(\underline{i})| = m(q-1) - |\underline{i}| \geq d$. So $\theta(\underline{i}) \in E$. Note that $\theta(\theta(\underline{i})) = \underline{i}$. Thus $F \subseteq \theta(E)$.
Therefore, $F = \theta(E)$ and $\text{Card}(E) = \text{Card}(F)$. □

Let $\beta_k \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Consider the indicator function

$$F_{\beta_k}(Y_l) = 1 - (Y_l - \beta_k)^{q-1} \tag{11}$$

with $1 \leq l \leq m$.
Then $F_{\beta_k}(Y_l) \in P(m, q)$ and

$$F_{\beta_k}(\beta_j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = k, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Consider the interpolation function

$$H_{i_l}(Y_l) := \sum_{k=0}^{i_l} (-1)^{i_l - k} \binom{i_l}{k} F_{\beta_k}(Y_l). \tag{12}$$

Then, we have $H_{i_l}(Y_l) \in P(m,q)$,

$$H_{i_l}(\beta_j) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{i_l-j}\binom{i_l}{j} & \text{if } 0 \le j \le i_l, \\ 0 & \text{if } i_l < j \le q-1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$(x_l - 1)^{i_l} = \sum_{j=0}^{i_l} H_{i_l}(\beta_j) x_l^j. \tag{13}$$

Set

$$H_{\underline{i}}(\underline{Y}) := \prod_{l=1}^{m} H_{i_l}(Y_l). \tag{14}$$

Thus

$$\deg(H_{\underline{i}}(\underline{Y})) = \sum_{l=1}^{m} \deg(H_{i_l}(Y_l)). \tag{15}$$

2.4 Proposition. *We have $H_{\underline{i}}(\underline{Y}) = \phi^{-1}(B_{\underline{i}}(\underline{x}))$, where ϕ is the isomorphism defined in (6), i.e.*

$$B_{\underline{i}}(\underline{x}) = \sum_{\underline{j} \le \underline{i}} H_{\underline{i}}(\beta_{j_1}, \ldots, \beta_{j_m}) \underline{x}^{\underline{j}}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\underline{i}}(\underline{x}) &= \prod_{l=1}^{m} (x_l - 1)^{i_l} \\ &= \prod_{l=1}^{m} (\sum_{j_l=0}^{i_l} H_{i_l}(\beta_{j_l}) x_l^{j_l}) \\ &= \sum_{\underline{j} \le \underline{i}} (\prod_{l=1}^{m} H_{i_l}(\beta_{j_l})) \underline{x}^{\underline{j}} \\ &= \sum_{\underline{j} \le \underline{i}} H_{\underline{i}}(\beta_{j_1}, \ldots, \beta_{j_m}) \underline{x}^{\underline{j}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

3 The GRM codes over the prime field $\mathbb{F}_p$ and the radical powers of $\mathbb{F}_p[X_1, ..., X_m] \,/\, (\, X_1^p - 1, ..., X_m^p - 1\,)$

In this section, we consider the case $r = 1$, i.e. $q = p$ a prime number and $\mathbb{F}_q = \mathbb{F}_p$ a prime field.
Let $\mathbb{F}_p = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, p-1\}$ and set $\beta_k = k$ for all $k = 0, 1, \ldots, p-1$.

Let us study $(x-1)^i$ for $0 \le i \le p-1$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$.
It is clear that

$$(x-1)^i \;=\; \sum_{j=0}^{i}(-1)^{i-j}\binom{i}{j}x^j.$$

For $k \in \mathbb{F}_p$, according to (1), we have

$$F_k(Y) = 1-(Y-k)^{p-1} = -\prod_{j=0(j\neq k)}^{p-1}(Y-j) \in P(1,p)$$

and

$$F_k(j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j=k, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let us consider the interpolation function

$$H_i(Y) := \sum_{k=0}^{i}(-1)^{i-k}\binom{i}{k}F_k(Y) \tag{16}$$

which is in $P(1,p)$.
Thus, $H_i(j) = (-1)^{i-j}\binom{i}{j}$ for $0 \le j \le i$
and

$$(x-1)^i \;=\; \sum_{j=0}^{i}H_i(j)x^j.$$

Let $a_{i,d}$ be the elements of $\mathbb{F}_p$ defined by

$$a_{i,d} := \sum_{j=0}^{i}(-1)^{i-j}\binom{i}{j}j^d \tag{17}$$

where $0 \le i \le p-1$ and $0 \le d \le p-1$.

3.1 Proposition. *The interpolation function (16) satisfies the relation*

$$H_i(Y) = a_{i,0} - \sum_{d=0}^{p-1}a_{i,d}Y^{p-1-d}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} H_i(Y) &= \sum_{k=0}^{i}(-1)^{i-k}\binom{i}{k}F_k(Y) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{i}(-1)^{i-k}\binom{i}{k}\left[1-(Y-k)^{p-1}\right] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{i}(-1)^{i-k}\binom{i}{k}\left[1-\sum_{d=0}^{p-1}(-1)^d k^d\binom{p-1}{d}Y^{p-1-d}\right] \end{aligned}$$

Since we consider a field of characteristic p, then $\binom{p-1}{d} = (-1)^d \bmod p$. It follows that

$$H_i(Y) = \sum_{k=0}^{i}(-1)^{i-k}\binom{i}{k}\left[1 - \sum_{d=0}^{p-1}k^d Y^{p-1-d}\right]$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{i}(-1)^{i-k}\binom{i}{k} - \sum_{d=0}^{p-1}\left[\sum_{k=0}^{i}(-1)^{i-k}\binom{i}{k}k^d\right]Y^{p-1-d}$$

□

3.2 Proposition. *The coefficients $a_{i,d}$ satisfy the following properties*

(i)- $a_{i,d} = i\sum_{k=0}^{d-1}\binom{d-1}{k}a_{i-1,k}$ *for* $1 \le d \le p-1$ *and* $1 \le i \le p-1$.

(ii)- $a_{i,d} = 0$ *for* $1 \le i \le p-1$ *and* $0 \le d \le i-1$.

(iii)- $a_{i,i} \neq 0$ *for all* $i = 1, 2, \ldots, p-1$.

Proof. (i)- For $d \ge 1$,

$$a_{i,d} = \sum_{j=0}^{i}(-1)^{i-j}\binom{i}{j}j^d = \sum_{j=1}^{i}(-1)^{i-j}\binom{i}{j}j^d$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{i}(-1)^{i-j}\cdot j \cdot \binom{i}{j}j^{d-1}.$$

But

$$\binom{i}{j} = \frac{i}{j}\binom{i-1}{j-1},$$

thus

$$a_{i,d} = \sum_{j=1}^{i}(-1)^{i-j}\cdot j \cdot \frac{i}{j}\binom{i-1}{j-1}j^{d-1} = i\sum_{j=1}^{i}(-1)^{i-j}\binom{i-1}{j-1}j^{d-1}$$
$$= i\sum_{j=1}^{i}(-1)^{i-1-(j-1)}\binom{i-1}{j-1}((j-1)+1)^{d-1}.$$

By using the relation

$$((j-1)+1)^{d-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1}\binom{d-1}{k}(j-1)^k,$$

and setting $J = j-1$, we have

$$a_{i,d} = i\sum_{k=0}^{d-1}\binom{d-1}{k}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{i}(-1)^{i-1-(j-1)}\binom{i-1}{j-1}(j-1)^k\right)$$
$$= i\sum_{k=0}^{d-1}\binom{d-1}{k}\left(\sum_{J=0}^{i-1}(-1)^{i-1-J}\binom{i-1}{J}J^k\right).$$

(ii) and (iii) can be easily proved by induction on i and by using (i). □

3.3 Proposition.

$$\deg(H_i(Y)) = p - 1 - i.$$

Proof. It is obvious by Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.2. □

3.4 Remark. An explicit expression of $H_i(Y)$ as a polynomial of degree $p-1-i$ is

$$H_i(Y) = \alpha_i \prod_{j=1}^{p-1-i} (Y + j),$$

where $\alpha_i = -i! \bmod p$.

3.5 Remark. Polynomials $H_i(Y)$, $0 \leq i \leq p-1$, satisfy the backward recurrence relation

$$\begin{aligned} &H_{p-1}(Y) = 1, \\ &H_i(Y) = \frac{1}{i+1}(Y - i - 1)H_{i+1}(Y) \quad , \quad 0 \leq i \leq p-2. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that in this section, the Proposition 2.4 become

$$B_{\underline{i}}(\underline{x}) = \sum_{\underline{j} \leq \underline{i}} H_{\underline{i}}(\underline{j}) \underline{x}^{\underline{j}} \tag{18}$$

The following Theorem is well-known (see [4],[6]).

3.6 Theorem (Berman-Charpin). *Let $C_\nu(m,p)$ be the Reed-Muller code of length p^m and of order ν ($0 \leq \nu \leq m(p-1)$) over the prime field $\mathbb{F}_p$ and M the radical of $\mathbb{F}_p[X_1, ..., X_m]$ / ($X_1^p - 1, ..., X_m^p - 1$). Then*

$$C_\nu(m,p) = M^{m(p-1)-\nu}.$$

Proof. Set $d := m(p-1) - \nu$. The set $B_d = \left\{ B_{\underline{i}}(\underline{x}) \mid |\underline{i}| \geq d \right\}$ is a linear basis of M^d over $\mathbb{F}_p$. Consider $B_{\underline{i}}(\underline{x}) = \sum_{\underline{j} \leq \underline{i}} H_{\underline{i}}(\underline{j}) \underline{x}^{\underline{j}} \in M^d$. By (15) and Proposition 3.3, we have $\deg(H_{\underline{i}}(\underline{Y})) = \sum_{l=1}^{m} p - 1 - i_l = m(p-1) - |\underline{i}| \leq m(p-1) - d = \nu$. It follows from Remark 2.1 and (7) that $B_{\underline{i}}(\underline{x}) \in C_\nu(m,p)$. Thus $M^d \subseteq C_\nu(m,p)$. Moreover, if we take $r = 1$ in Proposition 2.3, we have $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(M^d) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(C_\nu(m,p))$. □

4 Some properties of $(x-1)^i, 0 \leq i \leq q-1$, over an arbitrary finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$

In this section, we consider the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ where $q = p^r$ with p a prime number and $r \geq 1$ an integer.
We have already seen from (10), (11) and (12) of Section 2 that

$$(x-1)^i = \sum_{j=0}^{i} (-1)^{i-j} \binom{i}{j} x^j \quad , \quad 0 \leq i \leq q-1,$$

and the interpolation function is

$$H_i(Y) := \sum_{k=0}^{i} (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{k} F_{\beta_k}(Y) \quad , \quad 0 \le i \le q-1. \tag{19}$$

And we have

$$(x-1)^i = \sum_{j=0}^{i} H_i(\beta_j) x^j \quad , \quad 0 \le i \le q-1.$$

4.1 Lemma.

$$\binom{p^r - 1}{d} = (-1)^d \ mod\ p$$

where p is a prime number, $r \ge 1$ an integer and $0 \le d \le p^r - 1$.

Proof. It can be proved easily by induction on d. □

The following proposition is fundamental.

4.2 Proposition. *The interpolation function (19) satisfies the relation*

$$H_i(Y) = \sum_{d=1}^{q-1} \alpha^{-d} \left[(-1)^i - (\alpha^d - 1)^i \right] Y^{q-1-d}$$

where α is a primitive element of $\mathbb{F}_q$ and $1 \le i \le q-1$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
H_i(Y) &= \sum_{k=0}^{i} (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{k} F_{\beta_k}(Y) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{i} (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{k} \left[1 - (Y - \beta_k)^{q-1} \right] \\
&= (-1)^i (1 - Y^{q-1}) + \sum_{k=1}^{i} (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{k} \left[1 - (Y - \beta_k)^{q-1} \right] \\
&= (-1)^i (1 - Y^{q-1}) + \sum_{k=1}^{i} (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{k} - \sum_{k=1}^{i} (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{k} (Y - \beta_k)^{q-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$(Y - \beta_k)^{q-1} = \sum_{d=0}^{q-1} (-1)^d (\beta_k)^d \binom{q-1}{d} Y^{q-1-d}$$

and by Lemma 4.1, we have

$$(Y - \beta_k)^{q-1} = \sum_{d=0}^{q-1} (\beta_k)^d Y^{q-1-d}.$$

Thus, by (5),

$$\begin{aligned}
H_i(Y) &= (-1)^i(1-Y^{q-1}) + \sum_{k=1}^{i}(-1)^{i-k}\binom{i}{k} \\
&\quad - \sum_{k=1}^{i}(-1)^{i-k}\binom{i}{k}\left[\sum_{d=0}^{q-1}(\alpha^{k-1})^d Y^{q-1-d}\right] \\
&= (-1)^i(1-Y^{q-1}) + \sum_{k=1}^{i}(-1)^{i-k}\binom{i}{k} \\
&\quad - \sum_{d=0}^{q-1}(\sum_{k=1}^{i}(-1)^{i-k}\binom{i}{k}(\alpha^{k-1})^d)Y^{q-1-d}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\sum_{k=1}^{i}(-1)^{i-k}\binom{i}{k} = (-1)^{i+1}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
H_i(Y) &= (-1)^i - (-1)^i Y^{q-1} - (-1)^i - (\sum_{k=1}^{i}(-1)^{i-k}\binom{i}{k})Y^{q-1} \\
&\quad - \sum_{d=1}^{q-1}(\sum_{k=1}^{i}(-1)^{i-k}\binom{i}{k}(\alpha^{k-1})^d)Y^{q-1-d} \\
&= -\sum_{d=1}^{q-1}(\sum_{k=1}^{i}(-1)^{i-k}\binom{i}{k}(\alpha^{k-1})^d)Y^{q-1-d} \\
&= -\sum_{d=1}^{q-1}\alpha^{-d}(\sum_{k=1}^{i}(-1)^{i-k}\binom{i}{k}(\alpha^{k})^d)Y^{q-1-d} \\
&= -\sum_{d=1}^{q-1}\alpha^{-d}\left[\sum_{k=0}^{i}(-1)^{i-k}\binom{i}{k}(\alpha^{k})^d - (-1)^i\right]Y^{q-1-d} \\
&= -\sum_{d=1}^{q-1}\alpha^{-d}\left[(\alpha^d-1)^i - (-1)^i\right]Y^{q-1-d} \\
&= \sum_{d=1}^{q-1}\alpha^{-d}\left[(-1)^i - (\alpha^d-1)^i\right]Y^{q-1-d}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

4.3 Corollary. *1.* $H_1(Y) = -\sum_{d=0}^{q-2} Y^d$.

2. $H_2(Y) = -\sum_{k=0}^{q-2}(2-\alpha^{-k})Y^k$.

3. $H_{q-1}(Y) = 1$.

4.4 Remark. $H_0(Y) = F_{\beta_0}(Y) = F_0(Y) = 1 - Y^{q-1}$.

The next corollary is important to what follows.

4.5 Corollary. *If $\mathbb{F}_q$ is a non prime, then we have*

$$\deg(H_{q-2}(Y)) = q - 2.$$

Proof. In Proposition 4.2, for $i = q-2$, the coefficient of Y^{q-2} is $\alpha^{-1}\left[(-1)^{q-2} - (\alpha-1)^{q-2}\right]$. If $(\alpha-1)^{q-2} = (-1)^{q-2}$, then $(\alpha-1)^{q-1} = (-1)^{q-2}(\alpha-1)$. Since α is a primitive element of $\mathbb{F}_q$, then $\alpha \neq 1$ and $(\alpha-1)^{q-1} = 1$. Thus $1 = (-1)^{q-2}\alpha + (-1)^{q-1}$, and $(-1)^{q-2}\alpha = 0$. hence, $\alpha = 0$. This is a contradiction. □

5 The GRM codes of length q^m over a non prime field $\mathbb{F}_q$ and the powers of the radical of $A = \mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \ldots, X_m] \,/\, (\, X_1^q - 1, \ldots, X_m^q - 1\,)$

From sections 2 and 4, we have our main theorem:

5.1 Theorem. *Let $C_\nu(m,q)$ be the Generalized Reed-Muller code of length q^m ($m \geq 1$ an integer) and of order ν over a non prime field $\mathbb{F}_q$ and $M = \mathrm{Rad}(A)$ where $A = \mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \ldots, X_m] \,/\, (\, X_1^q - 1, \ldots, X_m^q - 1\,)$. Then*

(i)- $M^{m(q-1)} = C_0(m,q)$, $M = C_{m(q-1)-1}(m,q)$ and $M^0 = C_{m(q-1)}(m,q)$

(ii)- $M^i \neq C_{m(q-1)-i}(m,q)$ for all i such that $2 \leq i \leq m(q-1)-1$.

Proof. Since $\mathbb{F}_q$ is a non prime field then $q \geq 4$.
(i)-(a)- $M^{m(q-1)}$ is linearly generated over $\mathbb{F}_q$ by $B_{(q-1,\ldots,q-1)}(\underline{x}) = \check{1}$ the "all one word". By (15) and Corollary 4.3, we have $\deg(H_{(q-1,\ldots,q-1)}(\underline{Y})) = 0$. It follows from Proposition 2.4, Remark 2.1 and (7) that $B_{(q-1,\ldots,q-1)}(\underline{x}) \in C_0(m,q)$. Thus $M^{m(q-1)} \subseteq C_0(m,q)$. And by Proposition 2.3, we have $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(M^{m(q-1)}) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(C_0(m,q))$.
(b)- Consider $B_{\underline{i}}(\underline{x}) := (x_1-1)^{i_1}\ldots(x_m-1)^{i_m} \in M$. There is an integer l such that $1 \leq l \leq m$ and $i_l \geq 1$. By Proposition 4.2, $\deg(H_{i_l}(Y)) \leq q-2$. And we have $\deg(H_i(Y)) \leq q-1$ for all $i \neq i_l$. So $\deg(H_{\underline{i}}(\underline{Y})) \leq q-2+(m-1)(q-1) = m(q-1)-1$. Thus $B_{\underline{i}}(\underline{x}) \in C_{m(q-1)-1}$. This implies that $M \subseteq C_{m(q-1)-1}(m,q)$, and by Proposition 2.3, the equality holds.
(c)- It is obvious because $C_{m(q-1)}(m,q) \subseteq A = M^0$ and the Proposition 2.3 give the result.
(ii)- Consider the following sequence:
$\{0\} \subset M^{m(q-1)} \subset M^{m(q-1)-1} \subset \cdots \subset M^{m(q-1)-(q-2)+1} \subset M^{m(q-1)-(q-2)}$
$\subset \cdots \subset M^{m(q-1)-2(q-2)+1} \subset \ldots \subset M^{m(q-1)-(m-1)(q-2)} \subset \ldots$
$\subset M^{m(q-1)-m(q-2)+1} \subset M^m \subset M^{m-1} \subset M^{m-2} \subset \cdots \subset M^2 \subset M \subset A$.
For simplicity, let us proceed step by step:
Step one:
- $M^{m(q-1)-1}$ is linearly generated over $\mathbb{F}_q$ by $B_{\underline{i}}(\underline{x})$ such that $|\underline{i}| \geq m(q-1)-1$.

Consider $B_{(q-2,q-1,\ldots,q-1)}(\underline{x})$ which is in $M^{m(q-1)-1}$. By Corollary 4.3, Corollary 4.5 and (15), we have $\deg(H_{(q-2,q-1,\ldots,q-1)}(\underline{Y})) = q-2 > 1$ (for $q \geq 4$).
Thus $B_{(q-2,q-1,\ldots,q-1)}(\underline{x}) \notin C_1(m,q)$. It follows that $M^{m(q-1)-1} \neq C_1(m,q)$.
- Since $q \geq 4$, then $M^{m(q-1)-1} \subseteq M^{m(q-1)-(q-2)+1}$.
Therefore, $B_{(q-2,q-1,\ldots,q-1)}(\underline{x}) \in M^{m(q-1)-(q-2)+1}$ (*).
And since $\deg(H_{(q-2,q-1,\ldots,q-1)}(\underline{Y})) = q-2 > q-3$, then $B_{(q-2,q-1,\ldots,q-1)}(\underline{x}) \notin C_{q-3}(m,q)$.
Hence $M^{m(q-1)-(q-2)+1} \neq C_{q-3}(m,q)$.
- It is clear by (8) that $B_{(q-2,q-1,\ldots,q-1)}(\underline{x}) \notin C_i(m,q)$ for $2 \leq i \leq q-4$, then by (4) we have $M^{m(q-1)-i} \neq C_i(m,q)$ for $2 \leq i \leq q-4$.
In particular, the statement is proved for the case $m = 1$.
Step two:
- $M^{m(q-1)-(q-2)}$ is linearly generated over $\mathbb{F}_q$ by $B_{\underline{i}}(\underline{x})$ such that $|\underline{i}| \geq m(q-1)-(q-2)$.
Consider $B_{(q-2,q-2,q-1,\ldots,q-1)}(\underline{x})$ which is in $M^{m(q-1)-(q-2)}$ by (*).
We have $\deg(H_{(q-2,q-2,q-1,\ldots,q-1)}(\underline{Y})) = 2(q-2) > q-2$ (for $q \geq 4$).
Thus $B_{(q-2,q-2,q-1,\ldots,q-1)}(\underline{x}) \notin C_{q-2}(m,q)$. So $M^{m(q-1)-(q-2)} \neq C_{q-2}(m,q)$.
- Since $q \geq 4$, then $M^{m(q-1)-(q-2)} \subseteq M^{m(q-1)-2(q-2)+1}$.
Therefore $B_{(q-2,q-2,q-1,\ldots,q-1)}(\underline{x}) \in M^{m(q-1)-2(q-2)+1}$.
And since $\deg(H_{(q-2,q-2,q-1,\ldots,q-1)}(\underline{Y})) = 2(q-2) > 2(q-2)-1$, we have $B_{(q-2,q-2,q-1,\ldots,q-1)}(\underline{x}) \notin C_{2(q-2)-1}(m,q)$.
Hence $M^{m(q-1)-2(q-2)+1} \neq C_{2(q-2)-1}(m,q)$.
- For $q > 4$, since $B_{(q-2,q-2,q-1,\ldots,q-1)}(\underline{x}) \notin C_i(m,q)$ where $q-1 \leq i \leq 2(q-2)-2$, then $M^{m(q-1)-i} \neq C_i(m,q)$ for $q-1 \leq i \leq 2(q-2)-2$.
Continuing in this way, we apply the same method for each step. Thus, for the m-th step, we have
Step m:
- $M^{m(q-1)-(m-1)(q-2)}$ is linearly generated over $\mathbb{F}_q$ by $B_{\underline{i}}(\underline{x})$ such that $|\underline{i}| \geq m(q-1)-(m-1)(q-2)$.
Consider $B_{(q-2,\ldots,q-2)}(\underline{x})$ which is in $M^{m(q-1)-(m-1)(q-2)}$ (for $m \geq 2$). By Corollary 4.5 and (15), we have $\deg(H_{(q-2,\ldots,q-2)}(\underline{Y})) = m(q-2) > (m-1)(q-2)$ (for $q \geq 4$).
Thus $B_{(q-2,\ldots,q-2)}(\underline{x}) \notin C_{(m-1)(q-2)}(m,q)$. Therefore $M^{m(q-1)-(m-1)(q-2)} \neq C_{(m-1)(q-2)}(m,q)$.
- Since $q \geq 4$, then $M^{m(q-1)-(m-1)(q-2)} \subseteq M^{m(q-1)-m(q-2)+1}$.
Hence $B_{(q-2,\ldots,q-2)}(\underline{x}) \in M^{m(q-1)-m(q-2)+1}$.
And since $\deg(H_{(q-2,\ldots,q-2)}(\underline{Y})) = m(q-2) > m(q-2)-1$,
then $B_{(q-2,\ldots,q-2)}(\underline{x}) \notin C_{m(q-2)-1}(m,q)$.
Therefore $M^{m(q-1)-m(q-2)+1} \neq C_{m(q-2)-1}(m,q)$.
- For $q > 4$, since $B_{(q-2,\ldots,q-2)}(\underline{x}) \notin C_i(m,q)$ where $(m-1)(q-2)+1 \leq i \leq m(q-2)-2$, we have $M^{m(q-1)-i} \neq C_i(m,q)$ for $(m-1)(q-2)+1 \leq i \leq m(q-2)-2$.

To end the proof, we consider the following final step:
- $M^{m(q-1)-m(q-2)} = M^m$ is linearly generated over $\mathbb{F}_q$ by $B_{\underline{i}}(\underline{x})$ such that $|\underline{i}| \geq m$.

Consider $B_{(0,2,1,\ldots,1)}(\underline{x})$ which is in M^m. By Corollary 4.3, Remark 4.4 and (15) we have $\deg(H_{(0,2,1,\ldots,1)}(\underline{Y})) = m(q-2)+1 > m(q-2)$.
Thus $B_{(0,2,1,\ldots,1)}(\underline{x}) \notin C_{m(q-2)}(m,q)$. Hence $M^m \neq C_{m(q-2)}(m,q)$.
- M^{m-1} is linearly generated over $\mathbb{F}_q$ by $B_{\underline{i}}(\underline{x})$ such that $|\underline{i}| \geq m-1$.
Consider $B_{(0,0,2,1,\ldots,1)}(\underline{x})$ which is in M^{m-1}.
We have $\deg(H_{(0,0,2,1,\ldots,1)}(\underline{Y})) = m(q-2)+2 > m(q-2)+1$.
Thus $B_{(0,0,2,1,\ldots,1)}(\underline{x}) \notin C_{m(q-2)+1}(m,q)$. So $M^{m-1} \neq C_{m(q-2)+1}(m,q)$.
Similarly, we have finally:
- M^2 is linearly generated over $\mathbb{F}_q$ by $B_{\underline{i}}(\underline{x})$ such that $|\underline{i}| \geq 2$.
Consider $B_{(0,\ldots,0,2)}(\underline{x})$ which is in M^2.
We have $\deg(H_{(0,\ldots,0,2)}(\underline{Y})) = m(q-1)-1 > m(q-1)-2$.
Thus $B_{(0,\ldots,0,2)}(\underline{x}) \notin C_{m(q-1)-2}(m,q)$. Hence $M^2 \neq C_{m(q-1)-2}(m,q)$. □

6 Example: the GRM codes of length 8^3 over $\mathbb{F}_8$ and the powers of the radical of $A = \mathbb{F}_8[X_1,X_2,X_3] \,/\, (\, X_1^8-1, X_2^8-1, X_3^8-1\,)$

In this section, we consider the case $m=3$, $q=2^3=8$ and $n=8^3=512$.
Consider the modular algebra
$A = \mathbb{F}_8[X_1,X_2,X_3] \,/\, (\, X_1^8-1, X_2^8-1, X_3^8-1\,)$
$= \left\{ \sum_{i_1=0}^{7}\sum_{i_2=0}^{7}\sum_{i_3=0}^{7} a_{i_1i_2i_3} x_1^{i_1}x_2^{i_2}x_3^{i_3} \mid a_{i_1i_2i_3} \in \mathbb{F}_8 \right\}$ with $x_1 = X_1 + I, x_2 = X_2 + I, x_3 = X_3 + I$ and $I = \left(X_1^8-1, X_2^8-1, X_3^8-1\right)$.
We have the sequence of ideals
$\{0\} \subset M^{21} \subset M^{20} \subset \cdots \subset M^2 \subset M \subset A$ where $M = \mathrm{Rad}(A)$.
Let ν be an integer such that $0 \leq \nu \leq 21$. Consider the GRM codes $C_\nu(3,8)$ of length 512 and of order ν over $\mathbb{F}_8$.
We have the ascending sequence:
$\{0\} \subset C_0(3,8) \subset C_1(3,8) \subset \cdots \subset C_{19}(3,8) \subset C_{20}(3,8) \subset C_{21}(3,8) = (\mathbb{F}_8)^{512}$.
-M^{21} and $C_0(3,8)$ are linearly generated by $B_{(7,7,7)}(\underline{x}) = \check{1}$ (the "all one word"), and we have $M^{21} = C_0(3,8)$.
-Since $B_{(6,7,7)}(\underline{x}) \in M^{20}$ and $\deg(H_{(6,7,7)}(\underline{Y})) = 6 > 1$, then
$B_{(6,7,7)}(\underline{x}) \notin C_1(3,8)$. Thus, $M^{20} \neq C_1(3,8)$.
Since $B_{(6,7,7)}(\underline{x}) \in M^i$, $16 \leq i \leq 19$ and $B_{(6,7,7)}(\underline{x}) \notin C_i(3,8)$, $2 \leq i \leq 5$, we have $M^{19} \neq C_2(3,8)$, $M^{18} \neq C_3(3,8)$, $M^{17} \neq C_4(3,8)$, $M^{16} \neq C_5(3,8)$.
-Since $B_{(6,6,7)}(\underline{x}) \in M^{15}$ and $\deg(H_{(6,6,7)}(\underline{Y})) = 12 > 6$, then
$B_{(6,6,7)}(\underline{x}) \notin C_6(3,8)$. Therefore, $M^{15} \neq C_6(3,8)$.
Since $B_{(6,6,7)}(\underline{x}) \in M^i$, $10 \leq i \leq 14$ and $B_{(6,6,7)}(\underline{x}) \notin C_i(3,8)$, $7 \leq i \leq 11$, we have $M^{14} \neq C_7(3,8)$, $M^{13} \neq C_8(3,8)$, $M^{12} \neq C_9(3,8)$, $M^{11} \neq C_{10}(3,8)$, $M^{10} \neq C_{11}(3,8)$.
-Since $B_{(6,6,6)}(\underline{x}) \in M^9$ and $\deg(H_{(6,6,6)}(\underline{Y})) = 18 > 12$, then
$B_{(6,6,6)}(\underline{x}) \notin C_{12}(3,8)$. This implies $M^9 \neq C_{12}(3,8)$.
Since $B_{(6,6,6)}(\underline{x}) \in M^i$, $4 \leq i \leq 8$, and $B_{(6,6,6)}(\underline{x}) \notin C_i(3,8)$, $13 \leq i \leq 17$, we have $M^8 \neq C_{13}(3,8)$, $M^7 \neq C_{14}(3,8)$, $M^6 \neq C_{15}(3,8)$, $M^5 \neq C_{16}(3,8)$,

$M^4 \neq C_{17}(3,8)$.
-Since $B_{(0,2,1)}(\underline{x}) \in M^3$ and $\deg(H_{(0,2,1)}(\underline{Y})) = 19 > 18$, then $B_{(0,2,1)}(\underline{x}) \notin C_{18}(3,8)$. Thus, $M^3 \neq C_{18}(3,8)$.
-We have $B_{(0,0,2)}(\underline{x}) \in M^2$ and $\deg(H_{(0,0,2)}(\underline{Y})) = 20 > 19$. Therefore, $B_{(0,0,2)}(\underline{x}) \notin C_{19}(3,8)$ and $M^2 \neq C_{19}(3,8)$.

References

[1] H. Andriatahiny, *Anneaux de Galois et Codes polynomiaux*, Thèse de Doctorat de Troisième Cycle, Université d'Antananarivo, 2002.

[2] E. F. Assmus, *On Berman's characterization of the Reed-Muller codes*, Journal of Statistical planning and Inference, 56 (1994), pp.17-21.

[3] E. F. Assmus and J. D. Key, *Polynomial codes and finite geometries*, Handbook of Coding Theory, 1994.

[4] S. D. Berman, *On the theory of group codes*, Kibernetika 3(1) (1967), pp.31-39.

[5] I. F. Blake and R. C. Mullin, *The mathematical theory of coding*, Academic Press, 1975.

[6] P. Charpin, *Une généralisation de la construction de Berman des codes de Reed et Muller p-aires*, Communications in algebra 16 (1988), pp.2231-2246.

[7] E. Couselo, S. Gonzalez, V.T. Markov, C. Martinez, A.A. Nechaev, *Ideal representation of Reed-Solomon and Reed-Muller codes*, Algebra and Logic, vol.51, no.3 (2012).

[8] T. Kasami, S. Lin and W. W. Peterson, *New generalizations of the Reed-Muller codes*, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory 14 (1968), no.2, pp.189-205.

[9] P. Landrock and O. Manz, *Classical Codes as Ideals in Group Algebras*, Designs, Codes and Cryptography, 2 (1992), pp.273-285.

[10] A. Poli, *Codes stables sous le groupe des automorphismes isométriques de* $A = \mathbb{F}_p[X_1, \cdots, X_n]/(X_1^p - 1, \cdots, X_m^p - 1)$, C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris, t.290 (1980), pp.1029-1032.

[11] I. N. Tumaikin, *Basic Reed-Muller codes and their connections with powers of radical of group algebra over a non-prime field*, Moscow University Bulletin, 2013, vol.68, no.6, pp.295-298.

[12] I. N. Tumaikin, *Basic Reed-Muller codes as group codes*, Journal of Mathematical Sciences, May 2015, vol.206, Issue 6, pp.699-710.